

Mr. B. Gopalachar.—

Can you improve all the bad roads from the grant now made for special repairs ?

Third Member of Council.—

It will be done as far as possible ; but bad roads must get more than others.

MR. R. PUTTUVEERABHADRAPPA (Madhugiri Taluk).—

Q.—38. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) Whether it has come to the notice of Government that the Chief Judge during the October Session of 1927 expressed himself in favour of returning the deposit amounts made by parties in Civil Courts direct to them instead of through lawyers?

(b) If so, why has it not been given effect to in all Civil Courts up till now?

(c) Whether Government are willing to give effect to this in all Civil Courts from the current year?

(d) Whether Government will in future kindly make arrangements to see that their decisions or orders on requests made are brought into effect in the same year?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT.—

A.—38. (a) What the Chief Judge said was that if no authorization was given to lawyers they could not draw such amounts.

(b), (c) and (d) These questions do not arise in view of the answer to (a).

Supplementary Question and Answer.

Mr. D. S. Mallappa.—

Why should deposit amounts be returned to the lawyers simply because the clients have given them vakalats? When the refund is ordered, why should not the amounts be returned to the clients themselves, their signature itself being obtained by court?

Law Secretary to Government.—

The relationship between the parties and lawyers is one

of contract. In the vakalat signed by you, you give power to the lawyers to receive all moneys on your behalf. If you do not give such powers to the lawyers, the money will not be paid to them. If you want that the money should be paid to the clients themselves and not to the lawyers, you must say so in your vakalats, in which case the old rule requiring a special vakalat for drawing clients' moneys will be revived.

MR. N. CHANNAPPA (Gubbi Taluk).—

Q.—40. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) Whether it is a fact that the public in general were prohibited from attending the jatra of Sri Siddalingeswara Swami at Yediyur that was to be held this year, on account of plague and cholera prevailing in the surrounding places?

(b) If so, what were the infected areas and their distances from that holy place?

(c) Who are the sanitary authorities, if any, consulted in the matter, before such an order was passed?

(d) Was there any application made to the Deputy Commissioner for the modification of the order and how was it disposed of?

(e) Is it also a fact that at the time of the jatras at Seebi and Devarayanadurga that were held this year, there was plague at Tumkur, Kyatsandra and other surrounding places?

(f) If so, how were they permitted to be held and were any sanitary authorities consulted in the matter at all before such a permission was given?

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—40. (a) Yes, on account of cholera.

(b) Some villages in Madhugiri, Koratagere and Sira Taluks were infected.

(c) The District Sanitary Officer and the Director of Health with the Government of Mysore.

(d) Yes. The Director of Health was again consulted, but he was against granting permission.

(e) Yes.

(f) It was not considered unsafe to hold the re-jatras.